

# Evidence for divergent patterns of local selection driving venom variation in Mojave Rattlesnakes (*Crotalus scutulatus*)

Jason L. Strickland<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey A. Oaks<sup>2</sup>, and Jeffrey A. Smith<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, University of California, San Diego, CA 92092, USA

*Crotalus scutulatus*



venoms remains controversial (e.g.<sup>33,35,36</sup>). Alternatively, neurotoxic venoms may be particularly advantageous when the chance of prey escaping is high, as would be expected in metabolically active ectothermic prey in warm areas. This is because Type A venoms rapidly subdue prey through neuromuscular paralysis, but do not convey the potential digestive benefits that high SVMP activity<sup>4</sup> does.



with abbreviations following). Tissues were stored in 95% ethanol or RNAlater and venom was collected and vacuum dried, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and/or stored at ~~20~~ -80°C. We collected a total of 216 individuals: 114 of these had tissue and venom, 34 had only venom, and 68 had only tissue (Supplemental Table 1). Whole genom

Tracer v 1.8<sup>4</sup> to ensure stationarity was reached and that all ESS values for parameters from the individual and combined runs were  $> 200$ . We combined the runs and generated a 50% majority rule tree.

To determine if there are differences within and among venom types among populations, we performed an azocasein metalloproteinase assay on 146 samples in triplicate. These assays were performed by incubating 20  $\mu$ l of venom with 1mg of azocasein substrate in buffer composed of 50mM HEPES and 100mM NaCl at a pH of 8.0 for 30 minutes at 37C. We stopped the reaction with 250  $\mu$ l of 0.5M trichloroacetic acid, vortexed, and brought it to room temperature. We then centrifuged it at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. Sample absorbance was read at 342nm and reported in  $\text{AU}_{342\text{nm}}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$  of venom protein. To determine the statistical significance of differences among samples at  $p < 0.05$ , we used a Kruskal-Wallis test with venom type and lineage as factors implemented in R v. 3.14.3. If there was significance globally, we used a

was able to find a significant difference between BIO6 and BIO11 because of the  
 inclusion of the control sites BIO13 and BIO16 in the analysis. The results of the  
 analysis of BIO12, BIO14, BIO17 and BIO18 are given in Table 14. The results of the  
 analysis of the 14 sites in the North East of England are given in Table 15. The  
 MAXENT model was used to define the distribution of the species in the  
 Area Under the Curve (AUC) for each of the ENMs was generated from the  
 Central England data set. The results of the model are given in Table 16. The  
 model was used to predict the distribution of the species in the North East of  
 England. The results of the model are given in Table 17. The model was used to  
 predict the distribution of the species in the North East of England. The results  
 of the model are given in Table 18.

The results of the analysis of the 14 sites in the North East of England are  
 given in Table 14. The results of the analysis of the 14 sites in the North East  
 of England are given in Table 15. The results of the analysis of the 14 sites  
 in the North East of England are given in Table 16. The results of the analysis  
 of the 14 sites in the North East of England are given in Table 17. The results  
 of the analysis of the 14 sites in the North East of England are given in  
 Table 18. The results of the analysis of the 14 sites in the North East of  
 England are given in Table 19. The results of the analysis of the 14 sites  
 in the North East of England are given in Table 20. The results of the  
 analysis of the 14 sites in the North East of England are given in Table 21.

.<sup>63</sup> as part of the R package *glm*





significant differences ( $F = 0.24$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p = 0.62$ ). With Change,
   
 significant differences ( $F = 10.05$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; Fig. 5) Type B being
   
 Type A ( $p = 0.02$ ) being Type A + B ( $p = 0.07$ ). Type A and Type A + B
   
 were not different ( $F = 0.899$ ). With No Change, Type A and Type A + B
   
 ( $F = 3.22$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $p = 0.20$ ; Fig. 5). Overall Type A averaged 332.77 (332.20)
   
 (n = 28, Average = 386.58, Median = 380.10), Type A + B averaged 382.77 (382.77)
   
 (n = 5, Average = 301.54, Median = 298.30), and Type B averaged 269.24 (269.24)
   
 Average = 277.231, Median =

high variation in the variables found to be significantly different between venom types and the direction of their effects (Table 2)

Previous studies examining the distribution of venom types in C

deleted by Schil et al .<sup>38</sup> STP A, TP A + B, and TP B (Table 1 and Figs 1 and 3 ); in  
*C. scutulatus salvini* (age approx 100 A.D.) and 13 individuals. China  
concerning the presence of *C. scutulatus* in the region.

‘ • ... ž — • < ‘ •  
On the C . scutulatus ...  
...  
C. scutulatus ...  
...  
C . scutulatus



